

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

					N.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/970,312	11/14/97	ALLEN		D	ТН0776Х	
Г		PM82/0220 7	\neg	EXAMINER		
MARK A. SMITH SHELL OIL COMPANY			•	LEE,J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY P.O. BOX 2463				3673	14	
HOUSTON TX	77252-2463			DATE MAILED:	02/20/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Öffice Action Summan	08/970,312	ALLEN ET AL.					
Öffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONER	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2, and 4-8</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.	-					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>11 December 2000</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	· ·						
	•	· ·					
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
16) Notice of Preferences Oried (170-032) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)

Serial Number: 08/970,31:	Serial	Num	ber:08	/970	.312
---------------------------	--------	-----	--------	------	------

Art Unit: 3673

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on December 11, 2000 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on December 11, 2000 have been approved by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Serial Number:08/970,312

Art Unit: 3673

4. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horton'467 in view of PCT publication (WO 95/26294), and further in view of Jones.

Horton'467 discloses a deep water offshore apparatus comprising of a vertically oriented elongated floating hull (24) with a buoyant upper section; a ballasted lower section (70, 72); a truss member (26) separated the floating hull from the lower ballasted section; an anchoring system (28, 30) connecting the hull to the ocean floor (see Figs.1-3; col.3, lines 22-67; col.4, lines 1-62; col.5, lines 3-62).

However, Horton'467 fails to disclose or fairly suggest a vertically oriented protective profile section rotatably mounted about the hull. PCT publication (WO 95/26294) discloses a spar platform (1) comprising of: an essentially vertical cylindrical buoyant vessel/hull (7) and a shroud (4) surrounding the vertical vessel/hull (7) and forming an outer wall of the hull (7) as to claim 2 in order to be less susceptible to vortex induced vibration (VIV) (see Fig.1; pg.1, lines 29-32; pg.2, lines 1-33).

Therefore, in view of PCT publication (WO 95/26294), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the shroud to the floating hull of Hotron'467 in order to provide less resistance to lateral forces resulting from water current drag.

However, the teachings of Horton'467 modified by PCT publication (WO 95/26294) fail to specifically disclose the fairing-shaped profile section as the protective section. Jones discloses

Serial Number: 08/970,312

Art Unit: 3673

a riser pipe (11) having a vertically oriented fairing (21) mounted on the riser pipe for free rotation about it as to claim 6; the fairing's factors affecting the efficiency of a streamlined form are the ratio of its thickness at its widest portion to its chord or length and the distribution of its thickness along the length of its chord. The range of the ratio is about 30 % for the fairing body (21) (see Fig. 1; col.2, lines 55-68; col.3, lines 1-66; col.4, lines 32-68)

Therefore, in view of Jones, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the protective profile section/ shroud of Horton'467 as modified by PCT publication (WO 95/26294) by replacing with the fairing section to the floating hull in order to provide less resistance to enhance the vibration reducing functions of the shroud to the VIV.

5. Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horton'467 as modified by PCT publication (WO 95/26294) and Jones, as applied to claims 2 and 6, respectively, and further in view of Schuh. The teachings of Horton'467 modified by PCT publication (WO 95/26294) and Jones have been discussed above.

However, the teachings of Horton'467 modified by PCT publication (WO 95/26294) and Jones fail to specifically disclose the chord to thickness ratio between about 1.10 and 1.50. Schuh discloses a streamlined riser pipe comprising of a "ultra-short" fairing assembly (18-22) inherently having the chord to thickness ratio being in the range of 1.10 and 1.50 as depicted in Fig.2 (see

Serial Number: 08/970,312

Art Unit: 3673

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

Figs. 1-2; col. 2, lines 33-69; col.3, lines 23-35).

Therefore, in view of Schuh, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the fairing of Horton'467, as modified by PCT publication (WO 95/26294) and Jones, by incorporating the ultra short fairing shape to the fairing as taught by Schuh in order to provide the advantage of having a much lower drag coefficient wherein, the lower drag coefficient, the lower the resistance of the cylindrical floating hull to fluid flow.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Allen et al.'524 disclose a staggered fairing system for suppressing Vortex Induced Vibration; Ayers et al. disclose a spar platform having a shroud surrounding the buoyant hull; Morgan et al. disclose a means employing hydro-jets for facilitating the clearing of disaggregated ice chunks from the cutting region having a fairing shaped hull; Berry et al. disclose operations vessel for ice covered seas having a fairing shaped buoyant hull.

Serial Number: 08/970,312

Art Unit: 3673

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6777. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-32151. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Jong-Suk (James) Lee Ju

February 13, 2001

10

11

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600